Interleukin 6: The biology behind the therapy
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ABSTRACT

The cytokine interleukin (IL)–6 performs a diverse portfolio of functions in normal physiology and disease. These functions extend beyond the typical role for an inflammatory cytokine, and IL–6 often displays hormone-like properties that affect metabolic processes associated with lipid metabolism, insulin resistance, and the neuroendocrine system. Consequently, the biology of IL–6 is complex. Recent advances in the field have led to novel interpretations of how IL–6 delivers immune homeostasis in health and yet drives disease pathology during infection, autoimmunity, and cancer. Various biological drugs that target IL–6 are in clinical practice or emerging in clinical trials and pre-clinical development programmes. The challenge is knowing how and when to apply these therapies. In this review, we will explore the biology behind IL–6 directed therapies and identify some key hurdles for future investigation.

A panel of international experts in the field of rheumatology recently came together to consider IL–6 biology and the therapeutic targeting of this cytokine in disease.

CONSIDERING THE BIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF IL–6

IL–6 is a broad acting cytokine and an important therapeutic target for the treatment of diseases such as inflammatory rheumatic diseases and some cancers. In this regard, IL–6 is characterised by an ability to steer the survival, proliferation, and differentiation of cells and can direct both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory outcomes in infection, autoimmunity, and cancer (figure 1).1–3 For example, studies of acute infection show that IL–6 controls antimicrobial host defence and prevention of excessive tissue injury. However, these same activities also promote the progression and maintenance of chronic inflammation; IL–6 deficient mice are often protected from disease in experimental models of arthritis, lupus, encephalomyelitis, and plasmacytomias. For example, IL–6–deficient mice show limited hallmarks of joint pathology in both antigen-induced and collagen-induced arthritis.4–5 In these inflammatory settings, IL–6 controls leucocyte recruitment, determines the activity and maintenance of the inflammatory infiltrate, and drives various innate and adaptive immune responses.1–5,6–8

IL–6 is recognised as a prominent lymphokine that determines the proliferative survival and effector characteristics of T cells and B cells, but it also controls both adaptive and innate immunity; in fact, IL–6 plays a role in almost every aspect of the innate immune system.1,6 However, perhaps more striking is that IL–6 can elicit functions reminiscent of a hormone, including effects on the normal homeostatic control of vascular function, lipid metabolism, insulin resistance, iron transport, mitochondrial activities, the neuroendocrine system, and neuropsychological behaviour (figure 1).9–13 The involvement of IL–6 in these processes is reflected by the impact of IL–6–directed therapies on lipid biosynthesis and anaemia, as well as effects on patients’ well-being including pain, mood, depression, fatigue, and sleep (figure 1).14–17 IL–6 also plays an important role in maintaining the functional integrity of tissues and organs (such as the liver and mucosal barrier surfaces).18–19 For example, IL–6 is involved in maintaining the epithelial barrier within the gut, and disruption or blockade of IL–6 receptor signalling causes a loss of immune homeostasis and mucosal integrity.19–21 These findings show the importance of IL–6 biology at the barrier surface, and they also help to explain why a previous history of gastric perforations or diverticulitis is considered a contraindication for therapy with tocilizumab. Thus, when dealing with the application of IL–6 targeted interventions it is important to reflect on both the contribution of IL–6 to normal physiology and its involvement in the underlying pathology.

IL–6 signalling

IL–6 biology is highly complex, and understanding how IL–6 receptor signalling can bring about a diverse set of biological outcomes is challenging. In this regard, IL–6 has been reported to use three distinct forms of receptor signalling—classical IL–6 receptor signalling, IL–6 trans-signalling, and a third recently reported mechanism described as IL–6 trans-presentation.10,17,22 In the context of this review, we will compare the activities associated with classical IL–6 receptor signalling and IL–6 trans-signalling.

In the classical signalling pathway, IL–6 activates cells by first binding a non-signalling α-receptor (IL–6R; CD126), which, after dimerisation with β-receptor subunit glycoprotein (gp130; also known as CD130), leads to activation of receptor associated kinases within the cell, including the Janus kinases (Jak1, Jak2) and tyrosine kinase 2 (Tyk2) (figure 2) as well as the transcription factors signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1) and STAT3 that ultimately bind to promoter regions of responsive genes.2 All cells in the body express gp130. However, membrane-associated IL–6R is only present in a very small number of cell types—namely, leucocyte subsets, hepatocytes, and specialised epithelia, particularly in the gut.10,17,23 While classical IL–6 receptor signalling controls some important inflammatory outcomes (for example, the acute phase response and the generation of certain CD4 effector T cells), this mode of IL–6 signalling plays a prominent role in the maintenance of immune homeostasis. In contrast, IL–6 trans-signalling is rate limited by the presence of a soluble IL–6 receptor (sIL–6R), which forms a complex with IL–6 that structurally resembles heterodimeric...
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cytokines such as IL-12, IL-23 and IL-27 [figure 2]. For example, sIL-6R shares 60% identity with the IL-12p40 subunit. In healthy individuals, the concentration of circulating sIL-6R is 25–35 ng/mL. However, during disease, sIL-6R concentrations may increase by two to threefold, and various inflammatory mediators have been shown to promote the active release of IL-6R from the surface of neutrophils, monocyctic cells, lymphocytes, and hepatocytes. The significance of IL-6 trans-signalling is emphasised by two important features. First, the heterodimeric IL-6/sIL-6R complex is an agonist for cells expressing gp130 and provides a mechanism to explain how cell types that are typically refractory to IL-6 become responsive to IL-6 stimulation. IL-6 trans-signalling, therefore, broadens the types of cells that may elicit IL-6–activities—for example, stromal tissue cells including fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and smooth muscle cells. Second, evidence from experimental models increasingly shows that IL-6 trans-signalling enhances IL-6 responsiveness and drives inflammatory events. Physiological concentrations of IL-6 are relatively low (1–5 pg/mL) in human serum. These concentrations are rapidly elevated in response to infection, trauma or injury, and in extreme situations (for example, meningococcal septic shock) may reach quantities in the mg/mL range. IL-6 measurements are often better predictors of disease activity than assessments of C reactive protein (CRP). Elevated concentrations of IL-6 are, therefore, an early indicator of infection or inflammation and are integral to immunological host defence. Studies in IL-6 deficient mice emphasise that IL-6 is required for antimicrobial host immunity. These outcomes occur frequently in humans. For example, children with inhibitory auto-antibodies to IL-6 often present with recurrent staphylococcal cellulitis and subcutaneous abscesses. However, these same activities often contribute to the maintenance of chronic inflammation, and IL-6 deficient mice show limited hallmarks of pathology in models of arthritis, autoimmune encephalomyelitis, lupus, and other inflammatory disorders. IL-6 is, therefore, a key orchestrator of pathology, and these mouse studies have directly contributed to the successful clinical introduction of tocilizumab and the development of other IL-6 directed biological drugs.

CONSIDERING THE CONTRIBUTION OF IL-6 IN INFLAMMATION

Physiological concentrations of IL-6 are relatively low (1–5 pg/mL) in human serum. These concentrations are rapidly elevated in response to infection, trauma or injury, and in extreme situations (for example, meningococcal septic shock) may reach quantities in the mg/mL range. IL-6 measurements are often better predictors of disease activity than assessments of C reactive protein (CRP). Elevated concentrations of IL-6 are, therefore, an early indicator of infection or inflammation and are integral to immunological host defence. Studies in IL-6 deficient mice emphasise that IL-6 is required for antimicrobial host immunity. These outcomes occur frequently in humans. For example, children with inhibitory auto-antibodies to IL-6 often present with recurrent staphylococcal cellulitis and subcutaneous abscesses. However, these same activities often contribute to the maintenance of chronic inflammation, and IL-6 deficient mice show limited hallmarks of pathology in models of arthritis, autoimmune encephalomyelitis, lupus, and other inflammatory disorders. IL-6 is, therefore, a key orchestrator of pathology, and these mouse studies have directly contributed to the successful clinical introduction of tocilizumab and the development of other IL-6 directed biological drugs.
How does IL-6 contribute to both local and systemic disease processes? First and foremost, IL-6 is characterised as a lymphokine—a cytokine that affects the survival, proliferation, differentiation, and effector properties of T cells and B cells. These properties often help to distinguish the biological activity of IL-6 from that of tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α. However, IL-6 is also a potent regulator of innate immune cell activation and a primary activator of the hepatic acute phase response (for example, CRP, serum amyloid A, fibrinogen, and thrombopoietin). Indeed, IL-6 is also considered an adipokine and myokine with influences on both adipose tissues and muscle. Structural cells within the inflamed synovium of patients with rheumatoid arthritis typically lack IL-6R expression. These cells express gp130, and regulation of IL-6 trans-signaling within the inflamed joint contributes to chemokine directed leukocyte recruitment, the retention and activation of the inflammatory infiltrate, the transcriptional activation of matrix metalloproteases linked to cartilage damage, and effects in bone resorption. For example, analysis of synovial fluid from patients with rheumatoid arthritis shows an increase in sIL-6R that correlates with leucocyte infiltration and more advanced stages of disease activity. Mouse models have shown that the addition of sgp130 to an inflamed joint significantly reduces the degree of inflammation and associated pain, suggesting that IL-6 trans-signalling is responsible for driving local disease outcome.

IL-6 receptor signalling promotes activation of the Jak-STAT pathway. This pathway has evolved to sense and interpret environmental signals that promote cellular growth and functional identity. Many of the responses attributed to IL-6 are controlled by the latent transcription factors STAT1 and STAT3. For example, genetic studies in mice show that STAT1 and STAT3 activities determine the severity and duration of experimental arthritis. The relevance of the Jak-STAT pathway (and potentially IL-6 biology) to rheumatoid arthritis is perhaps further illustrated by genome-wide association studies. For example, around 20% of gene variants predisposing a genetic susceptibility to rheumatoid arthritis are linked to IL-6 or the Jak-STAT pathway, either directly in the signalling cascade (for example, Tyk2, IL-6ST, IL-6R), through genes transcriptionally controlled by IL-6 (such as IL-21 and IL-2RB) or responsible for IL-6 expression (such as IRF5 and IRF8). Computational network analyses of genes with genetic risk alleles linked with rheumatoid arthritis identifies STAT1 activity as an important feature of disease development. This information offers us opportunities to think about the way IL-6 controls the pattern of inflammation and its potential contribution to the heterogeneity of joint pathology seen clinically. A more detailed understanding of disease activity will ultimately improve the diagnosis and stratification of patients and inform the most appropriate course of therapy. Although the clinical introduction of tocilizumab as a frontline biological drug for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis illustrates the significance of IL-6 in this disease, this therapy has been less impressive in most other prevalent autoimmune diseases. This does not mean that IL-6 does not contribute to these diseases. Instead, the failure of IL-6 receptor inhibition to improve clinical outcomes in these conditions often reflects the contribution of IL-6 to immune homeostasis and normal tissue physiology. These clinical observations emphasise the heterogeneity of the inflammatory response and imply that the activity of some other cytokines (for example, IL-1, IL-17, IL-23, and TNFα) maintains the cytokine network at these inflammatory sites. Understanding how IL-6 contributes to the maintenance of immune homeostasis, yet promotes chronic disease progression, will ultimately help to identify how and when IL-6 directed interventions may best be applied.

**Figure 2** Classical IL-6 receptor signalling and IL-6 trans-signalling pathways.
The importance of understanding fundamental cytokine biology before starting a clinical study.

**The Current Status of IL-6 Blocking Therapies**

Structure-function studies have provided valuable insight into amino acid residues and sequences responsible for IL-6 docking to its cognate IL-6R and signal transducing receptor gp130. These interactions are complex, and a fully functioning IL-6 receptor consists of a hexameric structure involving two molecules of IL-6, two molecules of IL-6R, and two molecules of gp130. Ultimately, this has led to the pharmacological design of IL-6 directed therapies that prevent IL-6 binding to IL-6R (tocilizumab and sarilumab, targeting IL-6R; sirukumab and clazakizumab, targeting IL-6), IL-6 engagement with gp130 (olokizumab and EBI-029, targeting IL-6), and others that bind unique motifs potentially linked to the regulation of IL-6 trans-signalling (olamkicept—a chimeric engineered sgp130Fc fusion protein; NI-1201, targeting IL-6R) (Figure 3). Following a negative review from the FDA, sirukumab development and registration has been stopped. It should also be noted that Jak inhibitors (such as tofacitinib, ruxolitinib, and baricitinib) also inhibit aspects of IL-6 signalling, but these are less specific for IL-6 and target other cytokines potentially linked to the regulation of IL-6 trans-signalling (olamkicept—a chimeric engineered sgp130Fc fusion protein; NI-1201, targeting IL-6R) [figure 3].

**Considering the Biology Behind IL-6 Therapy—A Summary**

For a cytokine that was first described more than 30 years ago, it is amazing that we continue to acquire new insights into the workings of IL-6. It signals through a variety of mechanisms, mediates many inflammatory processes and homeostatic events, and is tightly regulated at the transcriptional (for example, microRNAs, RNA binding proteins, circadian control factors) and protein level (for example, IL-6R shedding, sgp130 antagonism) through mechanisms that either inhibit or promote its action. The success of IL-6 targeted therapies in certain clinical conditions illustrates the prominent role of IL-6 in infection and disease. Imitation is often the sincerest form of flattery, and viruses such as human herpesvirus eight and human cytomegalovirus have both evolved elegant ways to decoy IL-6 responses. These forms of viral mimicry and adaptation contribute to the persona of IL-6 and emphasise its functional importance in controlling host immunity.

Pharmaceutical companies and researchers have developed an array of new strategies to block this important cytokine. These drugs often display unique modes of IL-6 or IL-6R inhibition, and it is hoped that these therapies will display unique efficacies in different clinical settings. For example, will these alternative interventions limit the development of adverse effects associated with IL-6R blockade in some indications? The ability to apply approaches and technologies from other clinical specialties, such as oncology and genetic screening services, offers opportunities to establish how IL-6 sits within a particular cytokine network specific to a disease process or pathology. In this regard, we will increasingly see the application of systems based methods to obtain a more holistic view of drug action in different disease settings. Insights derived from these approaches will provide valuable bioinformatic datasets and biomarkers relevant to the design and interpretation of future clinical trials.
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